

| | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/687,699 | LIN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Kim T. Huynh | Art Unit 2112 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kim T. Huynh, uspto. (3) _____.

(2) Michael Hsu. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 01 June 2004.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: McCarty and Wakeley.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: see attachment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Khanh Dang
Primary Examiner

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant argues that D_ID and S_ID are not the same as LUN ID , applicant preferring back to pages 21-22 of specification, the network devices to SCSI based logical unit Ids in the form of LUNs. Examiner was advised that Applicant will amend claims for clarification.
2. Applicant argues that neither McCarthy and Wakeley teaches about database addressing.
3. Applicant was advised to file amendment with applicant's arguments, Examiner will further reconsider due to the proposed interview agenda was not have specific issues to discuss.

Kim Huynh

June 1, 2004

PTOL-413A (08-03)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09/687,699 First Named Applicant: SHING MARK LIN
 Examiner: HUYNH, KIM T Art Unit: 2112 Status of Application: PENDING/NON-FINAL

Tentative Participants:

(1) MICHAEL HSU (2) _____

(3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: 6/1/2004 Proposed Time: 1:00 (AM/PM) Eastern Time

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) Telephonic (2) Personal (3) Video ConferenceExhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: YES NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

| Issues (Rej., Obj., etc) | Claims/ Fig. #s | Prior Art | Discussed | Agreed | Not Agreed |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| (1) <u>Rej.</u> | <u>1,3-6,18,10-14, 16-19</u> | <u>Wakeley / McCarthy</u> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (2) _____ | _____ | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (3) _____ | _____ | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (4) _____ | _____ | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |


 Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

Patentability in view of prior art as will be summarized
by Examiner after interview.An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on N/A.NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01):

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.


(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

ADDRESS: SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.
If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.